GenEd @NJCU

A Message for General Education Instructors on the Assessment of Signature Assignments

Starting in Fall 2017, students in Gen Ed courses will no longer submit end-of-semester signature assignments to Tk20. Instead, students will submit their signature assignments to instructors only.

In addition, instructors will assess their own students' signature assignments using simple online versions of the Gen Ed assessment rubrics. The assessment team will no longer receive or score end-of-semester signature assignments.

This change creates a simplified and sustainable assessment process that prioritizes the essential role of faculty in supporting student success through involvement in programmatic assessment of student learning.

Since signature assignments will no longer be collected via Tk20 or scored by the assessment team, instructors may collect their students' signature assignments by any method they choose: they may require hard copies, email or Blackboard submissions, etc. In addition, in courses that cover oral communication there is no longer a need for students to record their signature assignment oral presentations. Instructors may now assess their students' live presentations using the oral communication rubric in real time.

On the following pages, we have provided images of the six simple online versions of the Gen Ed assessment rubrics referenced above. These will give you a clear sense of what the online rubrics look like. You may decide to print hard copies of these forms for use during the semester as you assess your students' progress on the University-wide student learning outcomes covered in your course. Ideally, most students will achieve the Tier 3 level by the end of the Tier 3 Capstone course and completion of Gen Ed. We also hope they will achieve the Tier 1 level by the end of Tier 1 courses and the Tier 2 level by the end of Tier 2 courses. The important thing is accurately to assess their progress and build on that progress to improve outcomes over time. There is absolutely no pressure to inflate results or to paint a prettier picture than reality supports. Note that scores are not tied to individual student or professor identities. They are collected anonymously and aggregated by Tier and Mode(s) of Inquiry.

The simple rubrics shown below do not include descriptors for each score; you will need descriptors to accurately and effectively score your students' work. The descriptors are found on the modified AAC&U VALUE rubrics which may be accessed via the Gen Ed website: www.nicu.edu/gened. Links are also included at the top of the following pages.

As a faculty member teaching in the Gen Ed program, you have a lot to contribute to an expanded conversation about teaching and learning at NJCU. A shift of emphasis from the logistics of the previous complex assessment process to a more sustained, focused conversation on teaching and learning, will benefit faculty, students, and the program as a whole. It will also help prepare more instructors to participate in efforts to revise the program scoring rubrics, a project we hope to begin this academic year.

A more central role for instructors should deepen faculty awareness of program goals and foreground the relationship between student outcomes and classroom practices. It should also help ensure that assessment achieves its best and highest purposes: to inform a vibrant conversation on teaching and learning that clarifies what we value as a community of educators and informs strategies for better accomplishing our educational goals. Meaningful assessment, done by instructors, becomes a chance to inform ourselves about the effectiveness of our program and to strategize about ways to improve it—starting in our own classrooms.

The General Education Committee for Assessment and Policy (GECAP) and I look forward to working with you throughout this new process to help ensure that Gen Ed instructors become more aware of program goals, and the rubrics used to assess them; to focus individually and collectively on the relationship between classroom practices, such as assignment design, and our own students' results; and to facilitate a greater focus on pedagogical and curricular concerns at faculty development meetings which, instead of addressing practical yet uninspiring topics like "how to upload assignments to Tk20," will increasingly address topics of true relevance, interest, and importance to faculty, because they more effectively enhance our ability to support our own students' achievement.

Thank you in advance for joining us in this endeavor.

Joshua Fausty, Ph.D.
Professor of English
Director, General Education
New Jersey City University
jfausty@nicu.edu
www.njcu.edu/gened



CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND INTERCULTURAL KNOWLEDGE

Tier 1		Tie		The state of the s	Te 3	
ss Mode of Inquiry (select all	that apply)		W-100			
Creative Process and Production						
anguage, Literary, and Cultural S	tudies					
Scientific and Quantitative Inquin	,			V. 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Scientific and Quantitative Inquir			Restrict to the second			
		Tier 2 Milestone 3	Tier 1 Milestone 2	Benchmark 1	Does not meet benchmark	NA NA
	S Tier 3 Capstone	Milestone	Milestone		benchmark	NA O
ocial and Historical Perspective	S Tier 3 Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2	1	benchmark 0	
Social and Historical Perspective	Tier 3 Capstone	Milestone 3	Milestone 2	0	benchmark 0	0
ocial and Historical Perspective nowledge versity of Communities and filtures values of Knowledge	Tier 3 Capstone	Milestone 3 O	Milestone 2	0	benchmark 0 O	0
owledge versity of Communities and itures alysis of Knowledge	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2	0	benchmark © O	0
Social and Historical Perspective nowledge versity of Communities and	Tier 3 Capstone 4 O O	Milestone 3	Milestone 2	0	benchmark O O O	0

Submit



CRITICAL THINKING AND PROBLEM SOLVING

Class Tier						
Tier 1			*2		Tier 3	
Class Mode of Inquiry (select	all that apply)					
Creative Process and Producti						
Language, Literary, and Cultur	al Studies			i Asian a ka		
Scientific and Quantitative Ing	,					
Social and Historical Perspect	ives					
	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Tier 2 Milestone 3	Ties 1 Milestone 2	Benchmark 1	Does not meet benchmark 0	NA
Topic Identification and Management	0	0	0	0	0	0
Explanation of Issues	0	0	0	0	0	0
Evidence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Influence of Context and Assumptions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Student's Position	0	0	0	0	0	0
Theoretical Framework or Approach	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conclusions and Related	0	0	0	0	0	0

Click "Submit" at the bottom when you are ready to submit your scores.

Submit

WER JERREY CITY TRIDERSITY

INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGICAL LITERACY

Class Tier						
Ter 1		Tie	2		Tier 3	
class Mode of Inquiry (select all that apply)						
Creative Process and Production	Subject to the state of the sta					
Language, Literary, and Cultural Studies						
Scientific and Quantitative Inquiry						
Social and Historical Perspectives						
	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Tier 2 Milestone 3	Tier 1 Milestone 2	Benchmark	Does not meet benchmark 0	NA
Determine the Extent of Information and Technology Needed	0	0	0	0	0	0
Access and Use Needed Information with Appropriate Strategies and Technologies	0	0	0	0	O -	0
Evaluate Sources and Applications of Information Critically	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use Information Effectively to Accomplish a Specific Purpose, Using Technology as Needed	0	0	0	0	0	0
Access and Use Information and Technology Ethically and Legally	0	0	0	0	0	0
Application of Information Literacy and Technology Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0
Evaluation of Web-Based and Technology Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0

Click "Submit" at the bottom when you are ready to submit your scores.

Submit



ORAL COMMUNICATION

ass Tier						
her1		Tic	*2		Tier 3	
ass Mode of Inquiry (se	lect all that apply)					
Creative Process and Pro	duction					
Language, Literary, and Cu	ultural Studies					
Scientific and Quantitative	: Inquiry					
Social and Historical Pers	paclives / Paclives					
entra e e do començão de la començão						
	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Tier 2 Milestone 3	Tier 1 Milestone 2	Benchmark 1	Does not meet benchmark 0	NA
rganization	0	0	0	0	0	0
anguage	0	0	0	0	0	0
elivery	0	0	0	0	0	0
		***	,	<i>y</i> ~~.	,	····
upporting Material		O	O	\cup	O	O

Submit

Click "Submit" at the bottom when you are ready to submit your scores.



QUANTITATIVE LITERACY

Te i		Te	***************************************		Tier3	
ass Mode of Inquiry (sel	ect all that apply)					
Creative Process and Prod	ucion					
Language, Literary, and Cu	Itural Studies					
Scientific and Quantitative	Inquiry					
Social and Historical Persp	jectives .					
Social and Historical Persp	pectives					
Social and Historical Persp	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Tier 2 Milestone 3	Tier 1 Milestone 2	Benchmark 1	Does not meet benchmark 0	NA NA
Social and Historical Persp	Tier 3 Capstone	Milestone	Milestone		meet benchmark	NA O
nterpretation	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2		meet benchmark 0	NA O O
nterpretation Representation	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2		meet benchmark 0	NA O O
nterpretation Representation Calculation	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2		meet benchmark 0	0
	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2		meet benchmark 0	0

Click "Submit" at the bottom when you are ready to submit your scores.

82	20	200	8		39.	o
æ	23	31	84	N	1	8
-26		2	2	44	2	a

Click here for Written Communication Rubric (includes descriptors for each score)



WRITTEN COMMUNICATION

		·····		***************************************	······································	
Creative Process and Production						
anguage, Literary, and Cultural Stu	ıdies					
cientific and Quantitative Inquiry						
ocial and Historical Perspectives						
	olat, Birl					
	Tice C					\$ 186 (1971)
	Tier 3 Capstone 4	Tier 2 Milestone 3	Tier 1 Milestone 2	Benchmark 1	Does not meet benchmark 0	NA
ntext of and Purpose for	Capstone	Milestone	Milestone		benchmark	NA O
ntext of and Purpose for	Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2	1	benchmark 0	
ntext of and Purpose for ting ntent Development nre and Disciplinary	Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2	1	benchmark 0	0
ntext of and Purpose for	Capstone 4	Milestone 3	Milestone 2	1	benchmark 0	0