RA4.2.12

Reading Specialist Alumni Survey 2022

November 21st 2022, 4:57 pm EST

This survey was distributed in July 2022 to 11 alumni who completed the Reading Specialist program in September 2020 through August 2021. Response rate was 27% (3 responses).

Q2 - Please indicate the year you completed the Reading Specialist program:

#	Answer	%	Count
4	2021	100.00%	3
5	Other:	0.00%	0
	Total	100%	3

Q3 - Please indicate if you are employed within a P-12 school environment:

#	Answer	%	Count
1	Employed full-time in P-12 setting	100.00%	3

Q36 - Please indicate your role within the P-12 school environment:

#	Answer	%	Count
1	Reading Specialist	0.00%	0
3	General Education Classroom Teacher	66.67%	2
4	Supervisor	0.00%	0
5	Department Head	0.00%	0
6	Other:	33.33%	1
	Total	100%	3

Q32 - Kindly provide the name of your school and school district:

Kindly provide the name of your school and school district:				
Ps 274x NYC				
Bayonne				

Great Oaks Legacy Charter School

Q36 - Please indicate how well the Reading Specialist program prepared you for your professional responsibilities using this scale:

- Poorly prepared: I was not given any opportunities to learn about or practice these components. (1)
- Partially prepared: I was given some opportunities to learn about or practice these components but not enough to meet the needs I have on my job. (2)
- Adequately prepared: I was given the opportunity to learn and practice these components enough to be competent as a novice in my position. (3)
- Thoroughly prepared: I was given multiple opportunities to learn and practice these components. My preparation went beyond what was needed as a novice in my position. (4)

Statements are based on the International Literacy Association Standards for the Preparation of Literacy Professionals 2017.

#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	 To understand the major theoretical foundations of literacy. [Standard 1] 	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3
2	2. To understand the role of the reading specialist in schools. [Standard 1]	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3
3	To design literacy curricula to meet needs of all learners. [Standard 2]	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3
4	4. To collaborate with teachers to implement effective literacy practices. [Standard 2]	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3
5	5. To use assessment tools to measure student literacy achievement and inform instruction. [Standard 3]	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3
6	6. To assist teachers in their understanding of literacy assessment results. [Standard 3]	3.00	4.00	3.67	0.47	0.22	3
7	7. To create classrooms that are culturally affirming. [Standard 4]	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3
8	8. To differentiate instruction to meet the developmental needs of all learners. [Standard 4, 5]	3.00	4.00	3.67	0.47	0.22	3
9	To support the effective integration of digital technologies in literacy programs. [Standard 5]	3.00	4.00	3.67	0.47	0.22	3
10	10. To coordinate ongoing school literacy improvement efforts. [Standard 6]	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3
11	 To design effective professional learning experiences. [Standard 6] 	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3

#	Question	Poorly prepared		Partially prepared		Adequately prepared		Thoroughly prepared		Total
1	1. To understand the major theoretical foundations of literacy. [Standard 1]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3
2	2. To understand the role of the reading specialist in schools. [Standard 1]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3
3	3. To design literacy curricula to meet needs of all learners. [Standard 2]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3
4	4. To collaborate with teachers to implement effective literacy practices. [Standard 2]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3
5	5. To use assessment tools to measure student literacy achievement and inform instruction. [Standard 3]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3
6	6. To assist teachers in their understanding of literacy assessment results. [Standard 3]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	33.33%	1	66.67%	2	3
7	7. To create classrooms that are culturally affirming. [Standard 4]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3
8	8. To differentiate instruction to meet the developmental needs of all learners. [Standard 4, 5]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	33.33%	1	66.67%	2	3
9	9. To support the effective integration of digital technologies in literacy programs. [Standard 5]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	33.33%	1	66.67%	2	3
10	10. To coordinate ongoing school literacy improvement efforts. [Standard 6]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3
11	11. To design effective professional learning experiences. [Standard 6]	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	100.00%	3	3

Q15 - What were the strengths of the Reading Specialist program?

What were the strengths of the Reading Specialist program?

Most of the teachers were very helpful and provided great feedback. I also learned a lot from my classmates.

Two strengths of the Reading Specialist program were is the program in flexibility for full-time teachers and a hands-on collaboration with peers.

Q16 - Suggestions for improvement:

Suggestions for improvement:

I felt there were certain classes where we were given a tremendous amount of work. Most of us were working professionals and had families. This put a huge strain on my household and my planning for my classroom suffered while taking those classes.

To do more case studies with scenarios instead of trying to implement and practice our skills on students in the classroom. It's just not enough time in the day.

Q17 - Other comments:

Other comments:

Dr. Allen Defina was amazing.